



### REMARKS

Claims 21, 31, and 49 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smith. Claims 21, 31, 32, and 49 have been rejected under 335 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Klein et al. Claims 21, 31, and 49 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vaughan. Claims 22-30 and 33-38 have been objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowed if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and nay intervening claims. Claims 39-48 have been allowed.


By this amendment, claim 21 has been canceled. Claim 22 has been amended to recite the elements of rejected claim 21 and the elements of allowable claim 22 in independent form. Claims 31 and 32 and claims 33-35 have been amended to depend from amended independent claim 22. Claims 36-38 depend from amended dependent claim 35 which depends from amended independent claim 22. Claim 49 has been amended to recite the limitations of claim 22.

CONCLUSION

Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for the allowance of claims 39-48.


All of the claims now remaining in the application are in condition for allowance and an indication to that effect is respectfully requested. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned with any questions regarding the present response.

Respectfully submitted,



Douglas E. Mackenzie  
Attorney Reg. No. 38,955  
FORTUNE LAW GROUP  
100 Century Center Ct.  
Suite 315  
San Jose, CA 95112  
408-436-0789

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on May 12, 2005.



Douglas E. Mackenzie  
Attorney Reg. No. 38,955  
FORTUNE LAW GROUP  
100 Century Center Ct.  
Suite 315  
San Jose, CA 95112  
408-436-0789